

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.: 10/519,911) Confirmation No.: 2644
))
Applicant: NAGATA et al.) TC/A.U. 3762
))
Filed: 12/30/2004) Examiner: DIETRICH, J.
))
Docket No.: 5553NA2-1))
))
For: "ELECTROCARDIOGRAM))
ANALYSIS DEVICE AND))
METHOD THEREOF"))

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants would like to thank Examiner Dietrich for the courtesies extended to Applicants representative, Mr. Jason Vick, during the April 22 interview. During the telephonic interview, the finality of the Office Action was discussed and in particular clarification asked for why including the feature of a dependent claim necessitated the finality of the Office Action. Examiner Dietrich, after conferring with his supervisor, indicated that finality was proper in that the feature incorporated from dependent claim 4 was not verbatim incorporated into independent claim 1. Thus, amended independent claim 1 required further search and/or consideration.

Examiner Dietrich did however indicate that he personally believes the term "arranges" more clearly defines the geographical placement of the data than the term "relates."


Applicants will file a suitable response in due course.

Respectfully submitted,

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

Date: 1/17/07

By:


Jason H. Vick

Reg. No. 45,285

1560 Broadway, Suite 1200
Denver, Colorado 80202
Telephone: 303-863-9700